

March 2013 - March 2017
Four Years with Francis
Supreme Blasphemer of the Vatican

Miles Christi - 13/03/2017



« With Francis by my side, Hell is quite mine »

« *These most crafty enemies have filled and inebriated with gall and bitterness the Church, the spouse of the Immaculate Lamb, and have laid impious hands on her most sacred possessions. In the Holy Place itself, where has been set up the See of the most holy Peter and the Chair of Truth for the light of the world, they have raised the throne of their abominable impiety, with the iniquitous design that when the Pastor has been struck, the sheep may be scattered. Arise then, O invincible prince, bring help against the attacks of the lost spirits to the people of God, and bring them the victory.* »
Pope Leo XIII.¹

When it comes to referring to the sayings and the facts of Francis, it is impossible not to find blasphemies scattered everywhere, whatever the subject addressed or the action performed. If I have decided to dedicate an article to some of his numerous blasphemies, it has been with the precise pedagogical purpose of highlighting the most « outstanding », so that Christians, becoming aware of the unspeakable malice and impiety of this foolish man, may escape his diabolical schemes and not be seduced by the false gospel which he preaches, nor by the

¹ Pope Leo XIII in his *Supplication to Saint Michael the Archangel*, contained in the *Exorcism against Satan and the other apostate angels*, published in 1890: https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Prayer_to_Saint_Michael

adulterated Christ which he deceitfully presents to the world, shielding himself in the immense prestige and considerable moral authority conferred upon him by his investiture.

I think it necessary to add a further specification here: if a distinctive feature had to be identified in Francis's pontificate, a brand that would adequately characterize it, a common denominator that would give coherence to his words and gestures, a backdrop always present in everything he says and does, this would be, without a doubt, blasphemy. Indeed, Francis utters them with the same naturalness with which he breathes, he belches his insults against all the sacred with a remarkable ability, a devilish delight and a prodigious impudence. Here are some of the pieces chosen from the incessant and multiform bergoglian exhortations:

«And I believe in God, not in a Catholic God, there is no Catholic God, there is God. »²

This sentence alone, said six months after his election and naturally repeated by the world press, would be enough to arouse condemnation without appeal for the prodigious Argentinian maligner. But since this did not happen, it proves, if there were any need, the incredible state of spiritual, intellectual and moral delinquency of Catholics. And if anyone thinks this sentence of Francis can permit an interpretation that is benign, orthodox, in conformity with the magisterium, and does not see in it a colossal sacrilege, a paroxysmal hatred of God and the Church with all the malice of the demon who speaks through the mouth of this mindless man, I am sorry to say it, he has a very serious problem...

According to Francis, Jesus had to ask pardon of his parents for his “escapade” in the Temple of Jerusalem. And his parents would have expressed their “reprimand.” Certainly, Francis has a sense of timing, seeing that he wanted to express this sick compliment to Jesus, Joseph and Mary during his homily for the festivities of the Holy Family on December 27, 2015 in the Basilica of Saint Peter. Please excuse the length of this citation, but it’s needed to fully expose the gravity of his words:

«At the end of that pilgrimage, Jesus returned to Nazareth and was obedient to his parents (cf. Lk 2:51). This image also contains a beautiful teaching about our families. A pilgrimage does not end when we arrive at our destination, but when we return home and resume our everyday lives, putting into practice the spiritual fruits of our experience. We know what Jesus did on that occasion. Instead of returning home with his family, he stayed in Jerusalem, in the Temple, causing great distress to Mary and Joseph who were unable to find him. For this little ‘escapade’, Jesus probably had to beg forgiveness of his parents. The Gospel doesn’t say this, but I believe that we can presume it. Mary’s question, moreover, contains a certain reproach, revealing the concern and anguish which she and Joseph felt. Returning home, Jesus surely remained close to them, as a sign of his complete affection and obedience. Moments like these become part of the pilgrimage of each family; the Lord transforms the

² Interview with Eugenio Scalfari October 2013

http://www.repubblica.it/cultura/2013/10/01/news/pope_s_conversation_with_scalfari_english-67643118

moments into opportunities to grow, to ask for and to receive forgiveness, to show love and obedience.»³

On August 15, 2013 Francis visited the contemplative Poor Clare community in the monastery of Albano. He explained to the religious in a pretended humorous tone that Mary perhaps rebelled against Saint Peter and disobeyed him, and secretly during the night, hidden from sight, she succeeded in arranging that everyone would be saved:

«Vatican Radio interviewed two of the sisters who attended the 45 minute meeting with Francis: the Mother Vicar, Sister Maria Concetta, said that: “the Pope was calm, relaxed as if he had nothing to do or was thinking of nothing. He spoke to us about Mary in a way that was very touching, on this Solemnity of the Assumption. The consecrated woman is a little like Mary. He told a sweet story that made us all smile, including himself: Mary is standing at the gates of heaven; Saint Peter doesn’t always open the doors when sinners arrive and so Mary suffers a bit, but does nothing. But at night when the gates of heaven are closed, when no one can see or hear anything, Mary opens the gates of heaven and lets everyone enter.»⁴

Francis obviously takes a malicious pleasure in offending the Mother of Jesus. According to him, Our Lady at the foot of the Cross would have revolted against God, treating him as a liar. Here are his words during a homily on December 20, 2013 at the Casa Santa Marta:

«She was silent, but how many things did she say in her heart to the Lord! ‘You one day told me that he would be great; that you would give him the throne of David, his father, that he would reign forever, and now I see him here.’ The Virgin was human! And perhaps she wanted to say: Lies! I was deceived!’»⁵

Francis repeats this hideous blasphemy several times: here is what he said on May 29, 2015, again in a homily at Casa Santa Marta:

«So often I think of the Virgin Mary, when they gave her the dead body of her Son, all wounded, spit upon, bloody, filthy. And what did the Virgin Mary do? Did she say ‘Carry him away’? No, she embraced him, caressed him. Even the Virgin Mary did not understand. Because in that moment she recalled what the Angel had said to her: ‘He will be King, great, a prophet...’; and with that wounded body in her arms, having suffered so much before dying, surely within herself she would have wanted to say to the Angel: ‘Liar! I was deceived.’ Even she had no answer.»⁶

Let us try to decipher the lesson Francis gives us regarding the Mother of God and Queen of Angels. According to him, Mary did not understand what happened to Jesus, she did not understand the meaning of His suffering. Mary at the foot of the Cross rebelled against God in

³ http://w2.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/homilies/2015/documents/papa-francesco_20151227_omelia-santa-famiglia.html

⁴ http://it.radiovaticana.va/storico/2013/08/15/il_papa_alle_clarisse_se_pietro_chiude_le_porte_del_paradiso%2C_maria/it1-719819

⁵ http://it.radiovaticana.va/storico/2013/12/20/il_papa_il_mistero_del_nostro_incontro_con_dio_si_comprende_in_un/it1-757278

⁶ https://w2.vatican.va/content/francesco/it/speeches/2015/may/documents/papa-francesco_20150529_bambini-malati-santa-marta.html

her heart. Mary felt herself deceived by the Angel Gabriel at the Annunciation. Mary did not freely and clearly consent to the redemptive sacrifice of her Son. Mary then is not Our Lady of the Seven Sorrows nor the Queen of Martyrs. Mary did not understand the prophecy of Simeon at the presentation of the Child Jesus in the Temple. Mary did not know what she was doing there and she misunderstood the meaning of her mission. Mary did not know what role she was given in the plan of salvation. That is the Bergoglian version of the role played by Our Lady on Good Friday at the foot of the Cross on Golgotha, when the Redemption of the human race took place. Such a version is absolutely Luciferian, and not to acknowledge this is due purely and simply to spiritual blindness.

But the blasphematory obsession of Francis does not end there. And why should it, given that no one contradicts him and he himself is devoid of any fear of God? According to Francis, it is not only the Most Holy Virgin Mary who blasphemes God but also her Divine Son, Our Lord Jesus Christ. Here are Francis' words during the homily of September 3, 2015 at the Casa Santa Marta:

«When Jesus lamented: ‘Father, why have you abandoned me?’ was He blaspheming? The mystery is there. So often I have heard people who are living in difficult situations, who have lost much or who feel alone and abandoned, ask: ‘Why? Why?’ They are rebelling against God. And I tell them: ‘Continue to pray like that, because that is also a prayer.’ For it was indeed a prayer when Jesus said to his Father: ‘Why have you abandoned me?’»⁷

And so, according to Francis, Jesus and Mary rebelled against God, and in their distress they blasphemed. But of course it was still a true prayer on their part. And so he encourages those who are suffering to follow this example, rebelling in their turn against God and blaspheming this cruel and evil being who cares nothing about gratuitous and incomprehensible human suffering...

Thus Francis explains that at the very moment when Our Divine Savior accomplished the Redemption of the human race by His voluntary sacrifice of Himself on the altar of the Cross, He would have blasphemed against His Father, rebelling against His salvific plan. And at the same time he explains that Our Lady, at the foot of the Cross, instead of lucidly and freely uniting herself to the redemptive sacrifice of her divine Son, would have blasphemed against the will of God, feeling herself deceived at the Annunciation by the Angel's promise concerning the mission of Jesus.

According to the incredible reconstruction of Francis, the central moment in the history of Salvation becomes an act of rebellion and blasphemy against God. So the new Adam and the new Eve at Calvary would have done no better than our first parents who acted under the instigation of the Demon and committed the first sin. Salvation then would not be substantially different from the Fall, since their common denominator would be rebellion against the will of God, with Satan at the origin of these two decisive moments in human history.

⁷ <http://www.news.va/es/news/evitar-lamentos-teatrales-y-rezar-por-quien-sufre>

Here then we have the teaching of Francis to Catholics: pure Luciferianism. Here we have the true face of this false prophet whom the masses of Catholics continue to naïvely call “Holy Father”, considering him to be the Vicar of Our Lord Jesus Christ. We rub our eyes in disbelief. I will say it again: to not recognize the diabolical character of this man is proof of spiritual blindness. But is it really surprising? Our Lord himself, did not warn us, in his eschatological discourse (Mark 13:22, Matthew 24:24), that the cunning power of false prophets that will precede His second coming will be such as to deceive even the elect, if possible?

At the general audience of September 11, 2013 Francis said that Mary and the Church “have defects” but one must know how to “excuse” them and love them “as they are.” Here are his words:

«The Church and the Virgin Mary are mothers, both of them; what is said of the Church can be said also of Our Lady and what is said of Our Lady can also be said of the Church! [...] Do we love the Church as we love our mothers, also taking into account her defects? All mothers have defects, we all have defects, but when we speak of our mother's defects we gloss over them, we love her as she is. And the Church also has her defects: but we love her just as a mother. Do we help her to be more beautiful, more authentic, more in harmony with the Lord?»⁸

At his press conference during the flight to Manila on January 15, 2015 Francis explained unperturbedly that, thanks to the conciliar “pentecost”, the Church has succeeded in going beyond her old obscurantism and has become respectful of other religions:

«But I believe that the Church has become much more respectful -as I said during the interreligious meeting in Colombo- and appreciative. When we read what the Second Vatican Council said about the values to be found in other religions, the Church has grown greatly in this regard. And yes, there are dark periods in the history of the Church, we must admit, without being ashamed...»⁹

On October 10, 2014 Francis addressed members of the *Evangelical Communion of Episcopal Churches* at their visit to the Vatican. He began his message with a heinous outrageous joke about the Church, revealing his monstrous hatred toward her:

«Above all, I congratulate you on your courage. Yesterday at the entrance to the synod hall I ran into a Lutheran bishop and I said: ‘Are you here? What courage! Because in another age the Lutherans were burned alive’ ... [laughter]»¹⁰

One must note that the purpose of the visit of the evangelicals was to honor Tony Palmer, an Episcopal “bishop” who died a short time before in an automobile accident. He was a good friend of Francis and had seriously thought of converting to Catholicism but was persuaded

⁸ http://w2.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/audiences/2013/documents/papa-francesco_20130911_udienza-generale.html

⁹ http://w2.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/speeches/2015/january/documents/papa-francesco_20150115_srilanka-filippine-incontro-giornalisti.html

¹⁰ <http://chiesa.espresso.repubblica.it/articolo/1350924?fr=y>

not to do so by him when he was still Cardinal Bergoglio, because, he explained, it would be more useful for ecumenical dialogue if he remained in Anglicanism. But at the death of his friend, Francis arranged that he be buried with the Catholic Episcopal funeral rite, despite his not being officially converted to Catholicism and he was therefore only a simple layman. It was Leo XIII who, in his encyclical *Apostolicae Curae* of September 13, 1896, replied negatively to a question about the validity of Anglican orders.

On July 9, 2015 Francis renewed his insults against the Church during his speech to the *Popular Movements* of Bolivia, composed of the worst kind of leftist and anticlerical organizations. Here are his words:

«Here I wish to bring up an important issue. Some may rightly say, ‘When the Pope speaks of colonialism, he overlooks certain actions of the Church’. I say this to you with regret: many grave sins were committed against the native peoples of America in the name of God. My predecessors acknowledged this, [...] and I too wish to say it. Like Saint John Paul II, I ask that the Church -I repeat what he said- ‘kneel before God and implore forgiveness for the past and present sins of her sons and daughters’. I would also say, and here I wish to be quite clear [...] I humbly ask forgiveness, not only for the offenses of the Church herself, but also for crimes committed against the native peoples during the so-called conquest of America.»¹¹

One must note that beyond the unacceptable blasphemies against the Church for the so-called “many and grave sins” that she allegedly committed against the native people of America “in the name of God”, Francis again becomes the mouthpiece of the enemies of the Church, endorsing the anti-Catholic and anti-Spanish black legend fabricated by the protestants, the “philosophers” and the freemasons, the sworn enemies of Catholicism and Catholic Spain.

The last example of blasphemy that I have chosen is that of denying the miracle of the multiplication of loaves of bread. One should note that this is commonplace in the Bergoglian “magisterium”, because to my knowledge, he has publicly sustained it many times since the day of his election. Here are three citations: the first on May 16, 2013 in his address to the Executive Committee of *Caritas International* concerning the bread and fishes:

«They were not multiplied. No, it’s not true: they simply were not finished, as the widow’s flour and oil were not finished. They were not finished. To speak of multiplication can lead to error, making one think that it’s magic. No, the grandeur and love of God that He has placed in our hearts is such that, if we want it, that which we have will not finish. Have great trust in that.»¹²

And here is a second citation from his Angelus address of June 2, 2013:

«Jesus then takes those loaves and fish, looks up to heaven, recites the blessing -the reference to the Eucharist is clear- and breaks them and gives them to the disciples who distribute

¹¹http://es.radiovaticana.va/storico/2013/05/16/hoy_d%C3%ADa_est%C3%A1_en_peligro_el_hombre%2C_la_persona_humana%2C_la_carne_d/spa-692879

¹²http://it.radiovaticana.va/storico/2013/05/16/il_papa_alla_caritas_internationalis_aiutare_i_poveri,_%C3%A8_in_pericol/it1-692785

them... and the loaves and fish do not run out, they do not run out! This is the miracle: rather than a multiplication it is a sharing, inspired by faith and prayer. Everyone eats and some is left over: it is the sign of Jesus, the Bread of God for humanity.»¹³

Finally, here is the third example, taken from his homily at Santa Cruz de la Sierra in Bolivia on July 15, 2015:

«Those words of Jesus have a particular resonance for us today: No one needs to be excluded, no one has to be discarded; you yourselves, give them something to eat. Jesus speaks these words to us, here in this square. Yes, no one has to be discarded; you, give them something to eat. Jesus' way of seeing things leaves no room for the mentality which would cut bait on the weak and those most in need. Taking the lead, he gives us his own example, he shows us the way forward. What he does can be summed up in three words. He takes a little bread and some fish, he blesses them and then gives them to his disciples to share with the crowd. And this is how the miracle takes place. It is not magic or sorcery. With these three gestures, Jesus is able to turn a mentality which discards others into a mindset of communion, a mindset of community.»¹⁴

So Francis explicitly denies the miraculous character of the multiplication of loaves, which he blasphemously calls “magic”, and he also denies implicitly the divinity of Our Lord Jesus Christ, implying that such belief would be equivalent to pure and simple “idolatry”...

In conclusion, here is a passage from the homily of Francis at Casa Santa Marta on June 15, 2013, scarcely three months after his election:

«And when we go to confession, for example, it is not that we tell our sins and God forgives us. We find Jesus Christ and we say to him: this is yours, and I have made you sin once again. And it pleases him, because that was his mission: to make himself sin for us, in order to free us. [...] Christ has made himself sin for me! And my sins are there, in his body, in his soul. This is crazy, but beautiful: it is the truth.»¹⁵

Such words absolutely would not enter the mind of any pious Christian. No, such atrocious words about Our Lord could only come from an infernal spirit spewing his irrevocable hatred of our adorable Redeemer. Given the unending outrageous blasphemies of Francis, I can only conclude that we are faced with a severe state of diabolical possession, as there seems to be no other possibility to explain this extraordinary phenomenon of ceaselessly outraging every sacred reality for three and a half years, with the circumstance particularly aggravating because it is done by one who is the Vicar of Our Lord Jesus Christ, at least in the eyes of the majority of Catholics.

In my opinion it is high time to raise one's voice and dare to call things by their name. Please permit me to use this occasion to publicly and solemnly declare, with full awareness of the extreme seriousness of my words, but with the most absolute moral certainty, that Jorge

¹³ http://w2.vatican.va/content/francesco/en/angelus/2013/documents/papa-francesco_angelus_20130602.html

¹⁴ <http://www.news.va/fr/news/voyage-apostolique-bolivie-messe-place-du-christ-r>

¹⁵ https://w2.vatican.va/content/francesco/it/cotidie/2013/documents/papa-francesco-cotidie_20130615_fretta-cristiana.html

Mario Bergoglio, alias “pope Francis” (although the title *Sovereign Blasphemer of the Vatican* suits him better) is possessed by evil spirits who inspire in him all these abominable blasphemies against God, against Our Lord, against Our Lady and against Holy Church.

Saint John in the Apocalypse speaks of a beast with “two horns like those of a lamb but who speaks like a dragon”, whom he also calls the false prophet, that is, he who will place spiritual power in the service of a world government of the Antichrist, in order to make him legitimate in the eyes of the world. Do these prophetic words of the seer of Patmos apply literally to the ignominious person who calls himself Francis? I do not know, but I confess that I consider this possibility more and more seriously...



« The Devil's Pope »

<http://saint-remi.fr/fr/anti-liberalisme/1463-three-years-with-francis-the-bergoglian-deceit.html>